

**SEISMIC EFFECT ON PRECAST CONCRETE
STRUCTURE FOR BEAM TO COLUMN CONNECTION**

LIEW MING HUI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF THESIS / UNDERGRADUATE PROJECT PAPER AND COPYRIGHT

Author's full name : **LIEW MING HUI**

Date of birth : **23 NOVEMBER 1982**

Title : **SEISMIC EFFECT ON PRECAST STRUCTURE FOR
BEAM TO COLUMN CONNECTION**

Academic Session: **2008/2009**

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

- CONFIDENTIAL** (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)*
- RESTRICTED** (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)*
- OPEN ACCESS** I agree that my thesis to be published as online open access (full text)

I acknowledged that Universiti Teknologi Malaysia reserves the right as follows:

1. The thesis is the property of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
2. The Library of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia has the right to make copies for the purpose of research only.
3. The Library has the right to make copies of the thesis for academic exchange.

Certified by :

SIGNATURE

821123-13-5086

(NEW IC NO. /PASSPORT NO.)

Date : **28 NOVEMBER 2008**

SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR

PROF. KARIM MIRASA

NAME OF SUPERVISOR

Date : **28 NOVEMBER 2008**

NOTES : * If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization with period and reasons for confidentiality or restriction.

“~~I~~/ We declare that ~~I~~/ We have read through this project report and to ~~my~~ / our opinion this report is adequate in term of scope and quality for the purpose of awarding the degree of Master Engineering (Civil – Structure)”

Signature : _____

Supervisor : **PROF. KARIM MIRASA**

Signature : _____

CoSupervisor : **PROF. AZLAN ADNAN**

Date : **28 NOVEMBER 2008**

**SEISMIC EFFECT ON PRECAST CONCRETE
STRUCTURE FOR BEAM TO COLUMN CONNECTION**

LIEW MING HUI

**A report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil – Structure)**

**Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia**

28 NOVEMBER 2008

“I declare that this report entitled “Seismic Effect on Precast Concrete Structure for Beam to Column Connection” is the result of my own research except the quotations and summaries that I have stated the sources clearly.”

Signature : _____

Aunthor Name : **LIEW MING HUI**

Date : **28 NOVEMBER 2008**

Specially dedicated to my family and friends

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to thanks Prof Karim Mirasa and Prof Azlan Adnan who help me a lot in finishing this report. Especially for Prof. Azlan Adnan who has been patient enough to fully guide me through whole year.

I would like to thanks those who support me morally to finish my report. Their precious opinion is useful for me to have an idea in completing this report.

ABSTRACT

This is an investigation of the seismic response on the precast structure due to the beam to connection behaviour. Seismic could damage the whole building if it is not properly designed, especially in high seismic region. Connection is one of the crucial elements to limit building damage. A lot of research has been done on monolithic reinforced concrete building but lack of information on the behaviour of precast connection under seismic effect for the whole structure. This research methodology mainly consists of two parts. The first part is finite element static load analysis and the second part is frame seismic load analysis. Finite element static load analysis models 4 types of connection. Three of the connection verified against the experimental result which carried out by P.L Chan (2006). A new connection is created and model. For frame analysis, 3 storey and 21 storey with three type connections stiffness frames are loaded with static load and seismic load. Three types of connection stiffness are pinned connection, new connection and fixed connection. The stiffness of the new connection is obtained from the slope of the total load versus deflection graph in the elastic range. The seismic load is Elcentro earthquake and modified with 0.15g and 0.50g load intensity. From the analysis results, new connection plate with 10mm thickness and Bolt 22 mm diameter has sufficient stiffness, strength and more importantly it has higher ductility. Meanwhile, the frame analysis results show that the new connection behaves as semi rigid connection after compare with pinned connection and fixed connection.

ABSTRAK

Laporan ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji kesan gempa bumi terhadap sambungan rasuk dengan tiang untuk precast struktur . Gempa bumi boleh menyebabkan kerosakan teruk kepada seluruh bangunan jika sambungan rasuk dengan tiang tidak sesuai. Kajian terhadap aspek ini adalah kekurangan jika dibandingkan dengan bangunan konkrit yang bersifat monolitik. Cara kajian ini terbahagi kepada dua bahagian. Bahagian pertama ialah analisa unsur terhingga dan bahagian kedua ialah gempa bumi analisis bagi kerangka. Analisa unsur terhingga menganalisis empat sambungan model. Keputusan tiga daripada empat model ini dibandingkan dengan keputusan experiment yang pernah dikaji oleh P.L Chan (2006). Satu lagi model ialah sambungan baru yang direkabentuk. Bagi kerangka analisis, gempa bumi tersebut ialah Elcentro dengan pecutan gravity 0.15g dan 0.50g dan dikenakan kepada kerangka. Kerangka tersebut meliputi 3 dan 21 tingkat kerangka dengan tiga jenis sambungan yang berlainan. Keputusan ini daripada analisa unsur terhingga dan kerangka analisis menunjukkan bahawa sambungan baru yang mempunyai 10mm tebal plate dan 22mm diameter bolt adalah sambungan paling sesuai.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Statement and Importance of Study	1
	1.3 Objectives	2
	1.4 Scope of Study	2
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Introduction	4
	2.2 Precast Concrete System	5
	2.3 Frame Subjected to Changes in Connection under Gravity and Wind Loads	7
	2.4 Frame subjected to Seismic Effect	10

2.5	Seismic Response Analysis	
2.5.1	Response-Spectrum Modal Analysis	11
2.5.2	Time-history Analysis	11
2.6	Basic Mechanism of Joints and Connection	12
2.6.1	Joints in Connection	15
2.6.1.1	Compression Joint	
2.6.1.1.1	Bearing Pad	16
2.6.1.2	Shear Joint	
2.6.1.2.1	Adhesion and Bonding	16
2.6.1.2.2	Shear Friction	17
2.6.1.3	Mechanical Joint	17
2.6.1.4	Tension Joint	17
2.7	Connection	
2.7.1	Construction Type	18
2.7.2	Design Type	
2.7.2.1	Pinned-jointed Connections	19
2.7.2.2	Moment Resistant Connections	20
2.8	Finite Element Analysis	
2.8.1	Nonlinear Concrete Material Modeling	22
2.8.2	Nonlinear Steel Material Modeling	24
2.8.3	Element Types	25
2.9	Behaviour of Beam to Column Connection	
2.9.1	Beam- Column Connections in Precast Reinforced Concrete Construction	26
2.9.2	Performance of Precast Concrete Beam to Column Connection Subject to Cyclic Loading	28
2.9.3	Effect of Connection Rigidity on Seismic Response of Precast Concrete Frames	28
2.9.4	Tests on Connections of Earthquake Resisting Precast Reinforced Concrete Perimeter Frames	29
2.9.5	Behaviour of Beam to Column Connection in Precast Concrete Structure	29
2.9.6	Behaviour of Beam to Column Connection in Precast Concrete Structure	30

2.9.7	Behaviour of Beam to Column Connection in Precast Concrete Structure	30
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	31
3.2	Research Methodology	31
3.3	Structural Model	33
3.4	Finite Element Model	37
4	RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	
4.1	Introduction	41
4.2	Finite Element Analysis of Connection for Static Load	41
4.3	Frame Analysis for Dynamic Load	
4.3.1	Modal Analysis and Linear Time History Analysis	54
5	CONCLUSION	
5.1	Introduction	69
5.2	Conclusion	
5.2.1	Finite Element Modal	69
5.2.2	Frame Model	70
5.2.3	Recommendation	71
6	REFERENCES	72

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Experimental Specimens Detail	26
3.1	Typical Corbel Type and New Connection Model Elements	39
4.1	Material Properties for Concrete Components	42
4.2	Material Properties for Steel Components	43
4.3	Peak Stress of the Concrete for Different Model F4 (Connection Assignment)	44
4.4	Corbel Only Model	47
4.5	Corbel + Plate and Bolt on Beam Top Model	49
4.6	Corbel + Plate and Bolt on Beam Top + Stiffener Model	51
4.7	New Connection Plate 10mm thickness and Bolt 22 mm diameter	52
4.8	Modal Analysis for Seismic Intensity Load 0.15g	54
4.9	Modal Analysis for Seismic Intensity Load 0.50g	55

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	F1 (Deformed and Moment Distribution Shape)	7
2.2	F2 (Deformed and Moment Distribution Shape)	8
2.3	F3 (Deformed and Moment Distribution Shape)	8
2.4	F4 (Connection Assignment)	9
2.5	F4 (Deformed and Moment Distribution Shape)	9
2.6	Applied Force versus Vertical Deflection	12
2.7	Construction Tolerances	14
2.8	Pressure Zone X	14
2.9	Shear Key	14
2.10	Mechanical Joint	14
2.11	Compression Joint	15
2.12	Type 1 and Type 2 Connections	19
2.13	Corbel Type for Pin-jointed Connection	20
2.14	Basic Principle of Moment Resistant Connection	21
2.15	Welded Plate Connector	22
2.16	Steel Billet Connector	22
2.17	Tension Softening Behaviour of Concrete	23
2.18	Compression Behaviour of Concrete	23
2.19	Hardening Properties of Steel	24
3.1	Experimental Connection	32
3.2	Proposed New Connection	32
3.3	Flow Chart of the Research Methodology	33

3.4	3 Storey 3 Dimensional Frame	35
3.5	21 Storey 3 Dimensional Frame	35
3.6	Elcentro Graph	36
3.7	Elcentro (0.15g) Graph	36
3.8	Elcentro (0.50g) Graph	37
3.9	Finite Element Model for Corbel Only Model	37
3.10	Finite Element Model for Corbel + Plate and Bolt on Beam Top Model	38
3.11	Corbel + Plate and Bolt on Beam Top + Stiffener Model	38
3.12	New Connection Plate 10mm thickness and Bolt 22 mm diameter	39
4.1	Von Mises Stress for Corbel Only Model	39
4.2	Von Mises Stress for Corbel + Plate and Bolt on Beam Top Model	45
4.3	Von Mises Stress for Corbel + Plate and Bolt on Beam Top + Stiffener Model	46
4.4	Von Mises Stress for New Connection Plate 10mm thickness and Bolt 22 mm diameter	46
4.5	Crack Location for Corbel Only Model	47
4.6	Total Forces versus Deflection for Corbel Only Model	48
4.7	Crack Location for Corbel + Plate and Bolt on Beam Top Model	49
4.8	Total Forces versus Deflection for Corbel + Plate and Bolt on Beam Top Model	50
4.9	Crack Location for Corbel + Plate and Bolt on Beam Top + Stiffener Model	51
4.10	Total Forces versus Deflection for Corbel + Plate and Bolt on Beam Top + Stiffener Model	52
4.11	Crack Location for New Connection Plate 10mm thickness and Bolt 22 mm diameter	53
4.12	Total Forces versus Deflection Comparison for New Connection	53
4.13	Beam Moment Comparison for Pinned Connection for 3 Storey	55

4.14	Beam Moment Comparison for New Connection for 3 Storey	56
4.15	Beam Moment Comparison for Fixed Connection for 3 Storey	56
4.16	Beam Shear Comparison for Pinned Connection for 3 Storey	57
4.17	Beam Shear Comparison for New Connection for 3 Storey	57
4.18	Beam Shear Comparison for Fixed Connection for 3 Storey	57
4.19	Column Moment Comparison for Pinned Connection for 3 Storey	58
4.20	Column Moment Comparison for New Connection for 3 Storey	58
4.21	Column Moment Comparison for Fixed Connection for 3 Storey	59
4.22	Column Shear Comparison for Pinned Connection for 3 Storey	59
4.23	Column Shear Comparison for New Connection for 3 Storey	60
4.24	Column Shear Comparison for Fixed Connection for 3 Storey	60
4.25	Beam Moment Comparison for Pinned Connection for 21 Storey	61
4.26	Beam Moment Comparison for New Connection for 21 Storey	61
4.27	Beam Moment Comparison for Fixed Connection for 21 Storey	61
4.28	Beam Shear Comparison for Pinned Connection for 21 Storey	62
4.29	Beam Shear Comparison for New Connection for 21 Storey	62

4.30	Beam Shear Comparison for Fixed Connection for 21 Storey	63
4.31	Column Moment Comparison for Pinned Connection for 21 Storey	63
4.32	Column Moment Comparison for New Connection for 21 Storey	64
4.33	Column Moment Comparison for Fixed Connection for 21 Storey	64
4.34	Column Shear Comparison for Pinned Connection for 21 Storey	65
4.35	Column Shear Comparison for New Connection for 21 Storey	65
4.36	Column Shear Comparison for Fixed Connection for 21 Storey	66
4.37	Deformed Mode for Modal Analysis (New Connection-3 Storey)	67
4.38	Deformed Mode for Modal Analysis (New Connection-21 Storey)	68

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\Delta\delta$	-	Displacement Difference
ΔF	-	Force Difference
σ_{von}	-	Von Mises Stress
σ_y	-	Normal Stress
γ	-	Shear Stress
f	-	Frequency
t	-	Time

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Seismic could damage the whole building if it is not properly designed, especially in high seismic region. Connection is one of the crucial elements to limit building damage. A lot of research has been done on monolithic reinforced concrete building but lack of information on the behaviour of precast connection under seismic effect. Therefore, this research is carried out by using computer software as a preliminary understanding of its behaviour.

1.2 Problem Statement And Importance of Study

Although several moment resistant connections are designed through research to sustain high intensity seismic, the connection fabrication is complex which will slow down the construction period. Besides, the actual behaviour of these

connections is still vague. The understanding of the actual connection behaviour is very important, especially designed and constructed for high seismic region. For low seismic region such as Malaysia, seismic effect is not taken into account in design consideration which may lead to tremor felt in high rise building. The effect of Sumatran earthquake is the best prove. As a result, improvement should be made on the connection either in high or low seismic region after analyzing the connection response to the seismic.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of the study are as follows:

- (i) Model three connections which were carried out in laboratory for verification by using Lusas.
- (ii) Propose and model new connection by using Lusas to determine the stiffness, strength and ductility by doing parameter change of plate thickness and bolt size.
- (iii) Study the effect of new connection stiffness on 3D frame under dynamic load and compare with pinned and fixed connection frame by using SAP 2000.

1.4 Scope of Study

This study focuses on beam to column connection under static and dynamic load. For the static load, the connection is modeled by using Lusas to study the the stiffness, strength and ductility. Meanwhile, the stiffness of the connection from the

finite element analysis is input in 3D frame for modal and linear time history analysis under 0.15g and 0.50g intensity (Elcentro).